

Docket no. _____

_____	ψ	COUNTY CIVIL COURT AT
VS	ψ	LAW NUMBER THREE (3)
_____	ψ	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

FINDINGS OF FACTS

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

APPEARANCES:

DISCRIPTION OF VEHICLE: _____, LICENSE PLATE: _____
TEXAS

HEARING REQUESTED BY: _____

FINDS: AFTER CONSIDERING THE EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT OF THE PARTIES, THE COURT FINDS AS FOLLOWS:

ALL NOTICES AND PREREQUISITE HAVE BEEN SATISFIED.
FOR VEHICLE REMOVED AND STORED AT DIRECTION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY, IN RESIDENTIAL AREA. THE VEHICLE WAS REMOVED WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE VEHICLE'S OWNER OR OPERATOR, AT THE DIRECTION OF THE PROPERTY OWNER; THE VEHICLE WAS UNATTENDED.

TOWING CHARGES IMPOSED ARE COLLECTED. THE FOLLOWING WERE IMPOSED OR COLLECTED IN CONNECTION WITH THE REMOVAL OF THE VEHICLE.

TOWING CHARGES AND VEHICLE STORAGE CHARGES: \$ _____

CONCLUSION OF LAW: THE COURT CONCLUDES THAT THERE WAS/WAS NOT PROBABLE CAUSE TO AUTHORIZE THE REMOVAL OF THE VEHICLE.

ORDER. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED THAT _____ RECEIVE A JUDGMENT AGAINST _____ ETAL FOR THE REMOVAL

AND STORAGE OF THE VEHICLE AS FOLLOWS: TOWING CHARGES AND STORAGE CHARGES OF \$ _____, PLUS ALL TAXABLE COURT COST.

DATE: _____

JUDGE PRESIDING